

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/660,694		09/12/2003	Robert C. Hochtritt	1517-1034	1517-1034 7665	
466	7590	03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
	G & THON		THOMAS, ALEXANDER S			
2ND FL		STREET		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLING	RLINGTON, VA 22202			1772		
				DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/660,694	HOCHTRITT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alexander Thomas	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	is				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a region. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT is statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	***						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call to be the call to	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r dureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	ge				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/05. 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	·)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/660,694

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either EP 0286538, EP 0302382 or Freiburger et al 5,516,000 each in view of Underhill et al 5,300,347. The primary references disclose the invention substantially as claimed, namely a stack of interfolded absorbent sheet products comprising a plurality of interleaved absorbent sheets each of which is folded twice about axes that are perpendicular to one another; see Figure 1 and column 8, lines 25-57 of EP 0286538, Figures 2-4 and page 3, lines 51-58 of EP 0302382, and Figure 7, column 1, lines 5-19 and claim 1 of Freiburger et al. However the references do not disclose embossing the absorbent folded sheets. The secondary reference discloses embossing absorbent sheets to achieve a product that is not harsh in appearance or feel; see column 5, lines 1-11 and column 1, lines 17-33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to emboss the tissue products of the primary references in view of the teachings in the secondary reference to provide a desired decorative effect.

Concerning claims 2-5, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the basis weight of the absorbent sheets in the article of the primary

Application/Control Number: 10/660,694

Art Unit: 1772

references to provide the desired absorbency and structural properties for a particular end use.

With regards to claims 8-13, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the size of the panels and the amount of folds in the sheets to provide the desired size of the absorbent product for a particular end use.

Claims 14 and 15 are directed to process limitations that do not add any patentably distinguishing features to the final product.

As to claims 18 and 20, the references disclose the sheets in dispensers with an opening. The phrase "downwardly-directed" (claim 18) is relative depending on how one views the dispenser and therefore does not distinguish over the prior art articles. In claim 20, the phrase "oriented at an dispenser" does not further define the claimed article since the support surface is not being claimed in combination with the dispenser and may be positioned at any angle.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/660,694

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ast

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ilefaudy & Showar